

# AUSTRALIA FIRST NEWS

**-:keeping the citizen informed:-** March 2008

*Australia's population of 21.15 millions is set to grow to just under 35 millions by 2050. It could easily surpass 50 millions by 2100.*

By 2100, Australia's European majority will have long before become an absolute minority. It may lapse into minority status before 2070. The immigration surge, drawn from the Third World, the higher birth rates of these immigrant groups, the below-replacement fertility of European Australian population and some intermarriage, will have ensured it. With these changes, comes the cultural genocide of the Australian identity.

There is no solace in the perverted notion that we have nothing to lose anyway because there is no Australian identity. According to the multicultural industry, Australia can only be defined as 'immigrant', that the plurality of cultures that exist here means, that an *Australian* culture is a fiction. This is *liberal racism*, the hatred by rootless cosmopolitan rich traitor class (those whom William Lane said have "neither colour nor country") of the *inconvenient people* who occupy this land and who whisper in the factories, the clubs and the schools, that it's theirs and they are being dispossessed.

We now ask the vital question: how many? Regardless of their race or nationality, whether they mix or don't, or any other question of that sort – how many is really right for Australia's carrying capacity? How many upright, talking simians for Australia? Thirty millions? Fifty millions? One hundred millions? Clearly, population numbers are knowingly pushed higher by the traitor class supported by its flunkies in academia, media and on the Left - whilst Australia is facing environmental disaster. Are they insane?

## **Environmental Disaster**

The Inter-Governmental Panel On Climate Change (IPCC) Fourth Assessment (2007), places Australia on the road to ruin. By 2020, the significant loss of biodiversity on the Great Barrier Reef and the Queensland Wet Tropics. By 2030, water crises over southern and eastern Australia. By 2030, the decline of agriculture and forestry in most of Australia. By 2050, risks to some coastal developments by sea-level rises. By 2050, continual 'drought' in Central Australia.

With all this comes the destruction of Australia's capacity to feed itself and manage many varieties of industrial production. One Australian climatologist, Professor Lyons of Murdoch University, has studied climate-change models in south-western Western Australia. He has concluded that rainfall will decline over the next 40 – 60 years - and has already declined by 10% since 1970. He reasons that widespread clearing reduces rainfall because rain-bearing clouds are more likely to form over native vegetation than cleared paddocks.

In the Perth press In September 2007, there were reports that thirty per cent of trees, brushes and flowers are dead or dying in the Kulburri National Park.

To produce chiefly for export, Australia has over-cleared the land. To increase population, demands more clearing. The problem intensifies.

Is this why we hear of massive desalination plants to support the megalopolis that Sydney is to become? A former premier of Victoria (Steve Bracks) would impose a megalopolis status on Melbourne, demanding another desalination plant. And Adelaide and Perth, which are already entering into a water crisis – will demand one each. The dependence of urban life on desalination implies a danger to the viability of our cities.

Is this why water is to be privatised to corporations and managed (over priced) to enforce rationing to farmers and rural Australians? Is this why water is to be recycled in our country towns? The dependence of rural Australia on rationed and recycled water would suggest danger for agriculture.

So why increase population? To increase the labour force and the consumer market as part of the capitalist rationale for progress? Clearly, the defence of Australia's identity and its economy is married to the defence of its environmental quality.

## **False Greens Push Anti Environment Agenda**

The Greens party, is not an environmentalist party, but a humanist one. What are the Greens saying about population? Worse than nothing! They want it to increase. In his book, *Patriots: Defending Australia's Natural Heritage* (2006), William Lines denounced Bob Brown of the Greens and Philip Toyne of the Australian Conservation Foundation, for putting immigrants ahead of the environment.

The Greens occupy a political space because no one has fought them for it. The humanist agenda of gay rights, same sex marriages and refugee immigration won't help the environment. A new environmentally conscious party is needed. But more! Since the answers to the degradation of our biosphere aren't found inside the liberal democratic framework, the Greens don't have the will power to wage the struggle. To rescue the Australian environment, let alone to protect the heritage of the people, means a fundamental alteration in the economic and political system. It is time for rational radicalism!

## **If Globalist Liberals Feel Guilty, We'll Help Them Get Better!**

A new enviro-nationalism means closing the doors and attempting against all odds to manage the 'greening of Australia', while building a domestically oriented economy. It means too upsetting (sic) our liberal-minded globalists. These squawkers (like Senators Bob Brown and Kerry Nettle and many a Uniting Church minister) talk about "sharing", "our abundance" with "the poor of the world". Okay, let's share just a little. A nationalist government might consider nationalizing their property (even church property) and *sharing that* with the poor of the world – *as birth control assistance!* Ahh, compulsion is necessary to compel traitors to put their money where their mouths are! We say: don't sit around lecturing the Australian working people about "sharing their wealth", *share yours!*

Lord Robert May, who addressed the Lowy Institute (billionaire Frank Lowy is also a high immigration advocate), said on November 18 2007: "today's global population was expected to increase again by half as much again to reach over 9 billion by 2050". He then identified action to reduce population as essential to good relations between nations. Basically, it means hundreds of millions of the starving might be knocking at Australia's doors within two decades. Apocalypse!

Always, it is naked capitalism which drives immigration more than any deluded do-gooder. It is corporate logic that has raped the Australian earth. The false Green and his friends merely provide a fig leaf for evil.

## **Australia First: A New 'Australians Against Further Immigration' (AAFI)?**

When AAFI emerged in 1988, it answered a need, only partly carried on today by the non-party Sustainable Population Australia (SPA). The AAFI warned that immigration was a challenge to identity, economy, lifestyle, environment and freedom. It sought to create an across class popular nationalist and green party challenge to the Establishment

In 2005/6, some 180,000 permanent visas were issued. About 176,000 were issued last year. The nightmare population projections for Australia, inside the secret boardroom meetings and ministerial briefings, may be considered '*targets*'.

The position taken by AAFI was abandoned for a more basic electoral and social critique of immigration. That was regrettable. The first formula was richer! Australia First argues that it is time to seize the Green ground by offering a true defence of our environment, a vital building block for a new Australian freedom party!

# **Identity - Freedom - Independence**

Victorian Branch P. O. Box 223, Croydon, 3136 Tele. 0408 554542  
[www.australiafirst.net](http://www.australiafirst.net) ausfirst@hotmail.com

Voting for other than Australia First is now largely just a waste of time